## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No.	SACV 19-00084 AG (JDE	Ex)	Date	January 30, 2019
Title	CLEANFUTURE, INC. v	v. MOTIVE ENERGY, I	INC.,	ET AL.
Present: Th	ne Honorable ANDREW	. GUILFORD		
	elissa Kunig	Not Present		
D	eputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recor	rder	Tape No.
Attorneys Present for Plaintif		Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
Proceedin	SUBJECT MAT'	SJ ORDER TO SHOW TER JURISDICTION		
Plaintiff filed Court has court in d 954, 955 liverse and complete distance of busi Accordingly, hould not b	d this breach of contract case oncerns about whether it has nust jealously guard its jurised (C.D. Cal. 2015). Courts have the amount in controversy eversity, a corporation is a citized pal place of business. <i>Id.</i> Plain at CleanFuture is an Oregon Coness, a vital piece of information.	e in federal court, asserting jurisdiction over this case diction. <i>Olmos v. Residential</i> re diversity jurisdiction over ediversity jurisdiction over edited to a comporation but fails to add corporation but don't provious in the diversity analysis show CAUSE by <b>February</b>	ng diverse.  I Credii ver cas C. § 13 reporation equate vide Cl s. (Cor ary 8,	ersity jurisdiction. The extra Sols., Inc., 92 F. Supp. ses where the parties a 32. For purposes of on and the state where it ly show jurisdiction. The eanFuture's principle anpl. ¶ 15.)
Plaintiff filed Court has court in d 954, 955 liverse and omplete diverse its principate only the lace of busing	d this breach of contract case oncerns about whether it has nust jealously guard its jurised (C.D. Cal. 2015). Courts have the amount in controversy eversity, a corporation is a citized pal place of business. <i>Id.</i> Plain at CleanFuture is an Oregon Coness, a vital piece of information.	e in federal court, asserting jurisdiction over this case diction. <i>Olmos v. Residential</i> re diversity jurisdiction over ediversity jurisdiction over edited to a comporation but fails to add corporation but don't provious in the diversity analysis show CAUSE by <b>February</b>	ng diverse.  I Credii ver cas C. § 13 reporation equate vide Cl s. (Cor ary 8,	ersity jurisdiction. The extra Sols., Inc., 92 F. Supp. ses where the parties a 32. For purposes of on and the state where it ly show jurisdiction. The eanFuture's principle anpl. ¶ 15.)